UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Christopher John Jones	Case No. 1:12-mj-00159-ESC
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I -	- Findings of Fact
	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of se that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C.which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison tern	n of ten years or more is prescribed in:
U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	
any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim	t involves:
	rm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committe or local offense.	d while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre person or the community. I further find that defended	sumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe dant has not rebutted that presumption.
	ative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	
_✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten yea Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions e and the safety of the community.
	ative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	
 , ,	anger the safety of another person or the community.
	of the Reasons for Detention
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	t the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
Defendant waived his detention hearing electing not to cor	ntest detention pending trial.
Part III – Direct	ions Regarding Detention
	torney General or a designated representative for confinement in a

Date: May 10, 2012 Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the